UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/900,827 | 07/06/2001 | Barry H. Schwab | VID-02202/29 | 8990 |
| 25006 | 7590 07/06/2007 ASS SPRINKLE ANDE | PSON & CITKOWSKI P C | EXAM | IINER |
| 09/900,827 07/06/2001 Barry H. Schwab | PO BOX 7021 | | CHAN, R | ICHARD |
| TROY, MI 480 | 07-7021 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | 2618 | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 07/06/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| | 09/900,827 | SCHWAB ET AL. | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Edan Orgad | 2618 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence address | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Fe | ebruary 2007. | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E | x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 49 | 53 O.G. 213. | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3 and 5-7</u> is/are pending in the applica | ation. | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3, 5-7</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | r election requirement. | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | r. | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce | epted or b) objected to by the | Examiner. | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct | | | | | |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | aminer. Note the attached Office | Action or form PTO-152. | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | • | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) |)-(d) or (f). | | | |
| Certified copies of the priority documents | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior | • | ed in this National Stage | | | |
| application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | | ad | | | |
| See the attached detailed Office action for a list | or the certified copies not receive | 5U . | | | |
| Attachment(s) | . 🗖 | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other: | | | | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 3 and 5-7 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant's arguments filed 2/30/07, with respect to previous Final Rejection dated 8/22/06 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The previous office action has been withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 3 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Utsumi et al (US 5,636,267).

Regarding claim 3, Utsumi teaches a communication device (figure 1, shoes a personal computer- col. 4, lines 58-60) having a speed dial function (although Utsumi does not specifically disclose a "speed dial function", Utsumi discloses a CPU processing calls from a list, therefore speeding up the dialing process), including the steps of storing a plurality of telephone numbers in the device in a list of numbers to be dialed (col. 5, lines 6-10) and entering an abbreviated command to sequence through the list to dial each stored number (col. 4, lines 14-20,

Art Unit: 2618

Utsumi teaches a "call command" at step 100) and automatically deleting a number from the list after a call to that number has been completed (abstract and col. 1, lines 52-57).

Regarding claim 5, Utsumi teaches the step of leaving a number on the list for later recall if the call to that number could not be completed at the time it was dialed (col. 5, lines 26-64).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Utsumi et al (US 5,636,267).

Regarding claims 6 and 7, Utsumi fails to specifically disclose the abbreviated command either entered manually of spoken by a user (although it should be noted that Utsumi does disclose a call command). Official notice is taken that it is well known in the art to use call commands in either manual or spoken entry methods.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to either use manual or user spoken redial entry with Utsumi's cleaning method/system for telephone number list in order to provide the computer user of Utsumi with a wide array of call entry sequences.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edan Orgad whose telephone number is 571-272-7884. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00AM to 5:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Urban can be reached on 571-272-7899. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/900,827

Art Unit: 2618

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

EDAN ORGAD PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER

Eden Organ Gaylor

Page 5